REE Boom 2.0 in the making?

It only takes a spark to get a fire going

In today’s report, 4 recent articles about the increasing tensions in the South China Sea are presented. Obviously, this issue is getting increasingly heated. The chances of a mistake being made have grown tremendously. China is basically looking to establish a ‘no-fly zone’ over all these disputed territories and then including the air space right up to the Philippines. Right after China had declared the air space between China and Taiwan a ‘no-fly zone’, Taiwan “accidentally” fired a missile towards China. By mistake? Really? Yesterday, an US analyst had this to say about the important decision that is expected to come down today: “China appears to be weakening at this time – economically and politically – and the best way to not appear weak is to double down on the nationalist front, or as we say ‘the best defense is a good offense’, and with this in mind China may opt to do something tangible in light of the ruling expected tomorrow.” The number of incidents have risen exponentially in the last few years to where there is an international incident about once a week between China and somebody else, whether it is Japan, Taiwan, the Philippines etc. And for all of these countries, the United States is mandated through treaties to protect these countries against any foreign aggression. Thus, any action taken by China may need to be addressed by the US.
Background

The reason why REE prices went ballistic in 2010/2011 was because of an incident that was not really significant on a global geopolitical scale, but for which China did decide to curtail shipments of the REE’s to Japan.

Quote from Rockstone’s report “The Mountain Pass Bubble and the Ashram Advantage” (August 27, 2015):

The ‘Fishing Boat’ incident in September 2010:

‘The 2010 Senkaku Boat Collision Incident (or the Minjinyu 5179 Incident) occurred on the morning of September 7, 2010 when a Chinese trawler, Minjinyu 5179, operating in disputed waters collided with Japanese Coast Guard’s patrol boats near the Senkaku Islands.’

What were the concerns of the Chinese, over and above gaining the release of the crew and Captain of this potentially illegal fishing vessel operating in disputed Japanese territory? Was China concerned about their national security? Were they concerned about an expansionist west through the proxy of Japan? No, I believe that China saw an opportunity to address a long held interest to gain more value from the one commodity that they are dominant in – the REEs – and to increase their value-add for this commodity, which would ultimately bring more manufacturing to China and create more jobs for Chinese citizens. And all they had to do was cut off Japan for supplies of REEs for a short period of time. It would be hard to imagine a more successful effort at instilling anxiety and fear in the rest of the world than this incident, which was so simple and so inexpensive, and so very, very effective.

A South China Sea Explosion: Why China Might Go ‘Rogue’ on July 12, 2016

By Harry J. Kazianis on July 1, 2016

If there ever was a time to follow the always action-packed South China Sea showdown, mark your calendar for July 12th.

Why this specific date? Well, that is the date the International Court of Arbitration has set to issue its ruling in the case of China vs. the Philippines. Most experts are of the collective mind that Beijing is likely to suffer some sort of negative outcome — an outcome they are already trying to distance themselves from.

But what will China do when the verdict is handed down and they likely lose in large measure, as is widely expected?

Beijing has several options — laid out below for your reading pleasure — and most are all bad not only for Asia as a whole, but especially so for Washington, considering it is a treaty ally of Manila and the only party with the capability to reign Beijing in if a crisis occurs:

1. The least likely option – China does nothing and de facto accepts the ruling:

South China Sea essentially its sovereign waters, and moves on?

This isn’t a bad option on the surface — China could continue to build on its fake islands in the area, turning them into small military bases armed to the teeth with the latest “carrier-killer” anti-ship weapons, rotate in large amounts of the latest fighter and bomber aircraft and turn the South China Sea into the ultimate anti-access/area-denial zone (A2/AD). In this scenario, Beijing is vocal about its anger towards the ruling, but simply presses on with that it is already doing, which one can argue has been very effective in consolidating its claims.

Such a reaction, mild by Chinese standards these days, seems highly unlikely. Xi Jinping and company will be under tremendous pressure to respond — forcefully and very publicly. The same old strategy won’t apply anymore — many Chinese citizens will demand a tough response, a projection of strength that Beijing won’t be pushed around anymore by external forces in what can only be described as China’s sphere of influence in the South China Sea.
Taiwan Just Fired a Missile Toward China by Accident

Taiwan has elected a new President skeptical of Beijing, raising tensions across the strait

By Simon Lewis on July 1, 2016

Taiwan’s navy has admitted it mistakenly fired a supersonic antiship missile in the direction of China on Friday. The missile ended up landing in the waters of the Taiwan Strait, but it was a frighteningly close call at a time when tensions between Beijing and the government of Taiwan are rising.

Agence France-Presse reports that a Brave Wind III missile was fired accidentally by a warship docked in Taiwan during a drill, and flew almost 50 miles before coming down near the Taiwan-controlled Penghu islands, which sit between Taiwan and the mainland.

China insists Taiwan is one of its provinces, but the island has been self-administered since it was the last holdout of Nationalist forces in 1949 at the end of their civil war with the Chinese Communist Party.

Taiwan — which unlike China holds democratic elections — voted in January this year to elect Tsai Ing-wen, its first female President and the leader of the Beijing-skeptical Democratic Progressive...
Party, raising fears that cross-strait relations could worsen. After Tsai’s inauguration in May, the central Chinese government appeared to censure her for not explicitly referring to the “consensus” reached at a 1992 meeting between the two governments over the principle of “one China.” [AFP]

*Click the image on the right (or here) to watch the video from Time.com*

---

**Renewed tensions between China and Japan recall 2010 rare earths crisis**

By Greg Klein from ResourceClips.com on June 9, 2016

A territorial conflict in the East China Sea flared up again as Japan protested a Chinese warship entering disputed waters. Japan’s vice foreign minister formally objected to the Chinese ambassador on June 8, according to Reuters.

China’s defence ministry defended its actions, telling the news agency, “Chinese naval ships sailing through waters our country has jurisdiction over is reasonable and legal. No other country has the right to make thoughtless remarks about this.”

The dispute concerns islands known as Senkaku in Japan and Diaoyu in China, Reuters added. Coastguard vessels from both countries enter the area regularly, but this is the first visit by a Chinese warship, the agency stated.

A September 2010 incident in the same waters set off a supply crisis for rare earths. Japan arrested a Chinese fishing captain after he twice rammed his boat against a Japanese naval vessel. China responded by cutting off rare earths exports to Japan, pushing REE prices as high as 3,000%, recalls Commerce Resources TSXV:CCE president Chris Grove.

With a rare earths project in northern Quebec and a tantalum-niobium project in British Columbia, he follows geopolitical issues affecting critical supply and demand. Grove says the recent incident reflects a larger problem of “simmering animosity towards the Japanese, which is being stoked by the Chinese government,” as well as the country’s nationalist aggression towards its other neighbours.

“Probably all Chinese governments since 1939 have been more than willing to remind their people about Japan and the Rape of Nanking. From all my time in China, I find it jaw-dropping how often the government, through their media, exhort the people of China to remember Nanking.”

Grove considers China’s 2010 actions “nothing short of brilliant, using a really small geopolitical incident to unilaterally cut off supplies of rare earth elements to Japan, and that’s the world’s second-biggest market. Japan was completely screwed. It brings to mind the tools China has at its disposal.”

Around the same time as the Chinese navy’s June 8 incursion, three Russian naval vessels also sailed near the disputed islands, “raising concern in Japan of a co-ordinated show of force by Beijing and Moscow,” Reuters stated.

One day earlier the U.S. said a Chinese fighter jet confronted an American spy plane at an “unsafe, excessive speed” in international airspace over the East China Sea, the Wall Street Journal reported. It was the second encounter of its kind in a month.

The 2010 incident inspired David S. Abraham’s book *The Elements of Power: Gadgets, Guns, and the Struggle for a Sustainable Future in the Rare Metal Age*. 
South China Sea: Chinese tours of disputed waters

By BBC on July 11, 2016

On Tuesday a tribunal in the Hague will deliver an eagerly awaited legal ruling on the South China Sea.

Five judges will rule on the status of several contested areas, and they could deliver a blow to China’s claim to sovereignty over the entire South China Sea.

The tribunal can’t decide who owns what - but it is expected to rule on whether any of the features are legally defined as islands under international law.

As our correspondent Jonah Fisher in Manila explains, that in itself has implications for who has the right to the South China Sea’s water and resources.

Read more: China to hold military drills in South China Sea

Click the above image (or here) to watch the BBC video on today’s ruling
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